	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP RICHARD J. POCKER (NV Bar No. 3568)	BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (pro hac vice)	
2	300 South Fourth Street, Suite 800	THOMAS S. HIXSON (pro hac vice)	
	Las Vegas, NV 89101 Telephone: (702) 382-7300	KRISTEN A. PALUMBO (pro hac vice) Three Embarcadero Center	
3	Facsimile: (702) 382-2755	San Francisco, CA 94111-4067	
4	rpocker@bsfllp.com	Telephone: 415.393.2000	
7	DOIEC COULLED & ELEVAIED LLD	Facsimile: 415.393.2286	
5	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (pro hac vice)	geoff.howard@bingham.com thomas.hixson@bingham.com	
6	KIERAN P. RINGGENBERG (pro hac vice) 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 900	kristen.palumbo@bingham.com	
7	Oakland, CA 94612	DORIAN DALEY (pro hac vice)	
,	Telephone: (510) 874-1000	DEBORAH K. MILLER (pro hac vice)	
8	Facsimile: (510) 874-1460	JAMES C. MAROULIS (pro hac vice)	
	sholtzman@bsfllp.com kringgenberg@bsfllp.com	ORACLE CORPORATION 500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 5op7	
9	Kimggenoeig@osinp.com	Redwood City, CA 94070	
10		Telephone: 650.506.4846	
10		Facsimile: 650.506.7114	
11		dorian.daley@oracle.com	
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc.,	deborah.miller@oracle.com jim.maroulis@oracle.com	
12	Oracle America, Inc. and Oracle International	Jim.marouns@oracle.com	
13	Corp.		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
	District of		
16			
16			
16 17	ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation; ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a Delaware	Case No 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL	
16 17 18	ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation; ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL	Case No 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL ORDER REGARDING CASE	
16 17	ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation; ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a California corporation, Plaintiffs,	Case No 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL	
16 17 18	ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation; ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a California corporation, Plaintiffs, v. RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation;	Case No 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL ORDER REGARDING CASE	
16 17 18 19	ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation; ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a California corporation, Plaintiffs, V.	Case No 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL ORDER REGARDING CASE	
16 17 18 19 20	ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation; ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a California corporation, Plaintiffs, v. RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation; SETH RAVIN, an individual,	Case No 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL ORDER REGARDING CASE	
16 17 18 19 20 21	ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation; ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a California corporation, Plaintiffs, v. RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation; SETH RAVIN, an individual,	Case No 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL ORDER REGARDING CASE	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation; ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a California corporation, Plaintiffs, v. RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation; SETH RAVIN, an individual,	Case No 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL ORDER REGARDING CASE	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation; ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a California corporation, Plaintiffs, v. RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation; SETH RAVIN, an individual,	Case No 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL ORDER REGARDING CASE	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation; ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a California corporation, Plaintiffs, v. RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation; SETH RAVIN, an individual,	Case No 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL ORDER REGARDING CASE	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation; ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a California corporation, Plaintiffs, v. RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation; SETH RAVIN, an individual,	Case No 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL ORDER REGARDING CASE	

[PROPOSED]	ORDER
------------	-------

2	Before this Court is the parties' joint request for a case management conference (Dkt.		
3	#488), filed September 17, 2014. The parties were required to file their joint pretrial order by		
4	October 14, 2014 ¹ and sought the court's guidance regarding the scope of trial and certain		
5	discovery disputes. The Court has considered the parties' papers and the arguments of counsel at		
6	a hearing conducted on October 9, 2014.		
7	Oracle filed its initial complaint in January 2010 alleging, among other things, that		
8	Rimini's support services infringed Oracle's PeopleSoft, J.D. Edwards, and Siebel copyrighted		
9	software (Dkt. #1). Discovery began in April of that year. Oracle filed its second amended		
10	complaint in April 2011 alleging that Rimini also infringed Oracle's copyrighted Database		
11	software (Dkt. #146). Fact discovery closed in December 2011 and expert discovery closed June		
12	15, 2012.		
13	In 2012, Oracle filed two summary judgment motions based on its copyright		
14	infringement claims. In February and August 2014, the Court granted in part those motions, (1)		
15	finding that Rimini had infringed Oracle's PeopleSoft and Database product lines, and that		
16	Oracle had proved its prima facie infringement case as to the Siebel and JD Edwards product		
17	lines, (2) finding against Rimini on several of its affirmative defenses, and (3) dismissing		
18	Rimini's remaining counterclaims.		
19	Rimini claims that in response to the Court's February 13, 2014 Order, Rimini adopted a		
20	new non-infringing support model. Rimini seeks to admit evidence of its new process at trial.		
21	Oracle argues that it would need extensive discovery to test Rimini's assertion that Rimini's new		
22	support model is non-infringing. Oracle further contends that the time necessary for this		
23	discovery would unduly delay trial. Oracle thus argues that the parties' joint pretrial order and		
24	the trial should be limited to the support processes Rimini used up to February 13, 2014, and		
25			
26	¹ At the October 9, 2014 hearing regarding the parties' request for a case management		
27	conference, the Court extended the parties' deadline to file their joint pretrial order to October 28, 2014.		
28			

1	should exclude Rimini's claimed new support process.		
2	This case has been pending for nearly five years and, under the Court's supervision, fact		
3	and expert discovery has been completed. The parties require leave of Court to engage in any		
4	further discovery other than the supplementation required by Rule 26(e). Moreover, Oracle has		
5	offered to stipulate not to seek damages in this case for the period on or after the District Court's		
6	February 13, 2014 order, and the Court will hold Oracle to that offer. Accordingly, the February		
7	13, 2014 order is not a basis to reopen discovery, and the Court declines to do so. While the		
8	District Court will decide the admissibility of Rimini's expert's opinion on the proposed method		
9	of calculating damages, the full discovery on that theory has been conducted. Discovery will		
10	remain closed, and the case will remain as it was put in at the close of discovery, not thereafter.		
11	DATED: October 17, 2014	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP	
12		By: /S/ Kieran P. Ringgenberg	
13		Kieran P. Ringgenberg (<i>pro hac vice</i>) 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 900	
14		Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: (510) 874-1000	
15		Facsimile: (510) 874-1460 kringgenberg@bsfllp.com	
16		Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
17	ADDROVED AS TO FORM		
18	APPROVED AS TO FORM:		
19	DATED: October 17, 2014	SHOOK, HARDY & BACON LLP	
20		By: /S/ Robert H. Reckers Robert H. Reckers (pro hac vice)	
21		600 Travis Street, Suite 1600 Houston, Texas 77002	
22		Telephone: (713) 227-8008 Facsimile: (731) 227-9508	
23	IT IO OO OPDEDED this could do.	rreckers@shb.com	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED this 23rd day of October, 2014	Attorneys for Defendants	
25			
26	Juan a. Teen		
27	Peggy A. Leen		
28	United States Magistrate Judge		

1	ATTESTATION OF FILER		
2	The signatories to this document are myself and Robert Reckers and I have		
3	obtained Mr. Reckers's concurrence to file this document on his behalf.		
4	DATED: October 17, 2014	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP	
5		By: /S/ Kieran P. Ringgenberg	
6		Kieran P. Ringgenberg (<i>pro hac vice</i>) 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 900	
7		Oakland, CA 94612	
8		Telephone: (510) 874-1000 Facsimile: (510) 874-1460	
9		kringgenberg@bsfllp.com	
10		Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		_	
		5	